

Acta Crystallographica Section E

Structure Reports

Online

ISSN 1600-5368

***N,N'*-Bis[(*E*)-(6-methyl-2-pyridyl)-methylene]hexane-1,6-diamine**Manuela Ramos Silva,^{a*} Joana A. Silva,^b Ana Matos Beja^a and Abílio J. F.N. Sobral^b^aCEMDRX, Physics Department, University of Coimbra, P-3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal, and ^bChemistry Department, University of Coimbra, P-3004-516 Coimbra, Portugal

Correspondence e-mail: manuela@pollux.fis.uc.pt


Received 20 April 2009; accepted 4 May 2009

Key indicators: single-crystal X-ray study; $T = 293$ K; mean $\sigma(\text{C}-\text{C}) = 0.004$ Å; R factor = 0.056; wR factor = 0.184; data-to-parameter ratio = 20.8.

The title compound, $\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_4$, is composed of two (6-methyl-2-pyridyl)methylene units linked by a 1,6-diamine hexane chain. The molecule has C_i symmetry with the inversion center situated at the mid-point of the central C—C bond. The alkyl chain has an all-*trans* conformation, with all the non-H atoms sharing the same plane [maximum deviation 0.004 (3) Å]. The pyridylmethylene groups are also planar [maximum deviation 0.009 (3) Å], making an angle of 53.78 (19)° with the hexane chain plane. In the crystal, the molecules assemble in layers, stacking along the a axis. The stacks are held together by attractive interactions between π electron systems.

Related literature

For salen ligands, their structures and possible applications, see: Cozzi (2004); Li *et al.* (2007); Renehan *et al.* (2005); Mohamed *et al.* (2006). For ruthenium–salen complexes, see: Wu & Gorden (2007). For the use of salen ligands to form metal-organic frameworks, see: Bu *et al.* (2001); van den Berga & Arean (2008).



Experimental

Crystal data

$\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_4$
 $M_r = 322.45$
 Orthorhombic, $Pbca$
 $a = 7.2713$ (10) Å
 $b = 12.6671$ (18) Å
 $c = 20.458$ (3) Å
 $V = 1884.3$ (5) Å³
 $Z = 4$
 Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
 $\mu = 0.07$ mm⁻¹
 $T = 293$ K
 $0.17 \times 0.12 \times 0.09$ mm

Data collection

Bruker SMART APEX CCD area-detector diffractometer
 Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2000)
 $T_{\min} = 0.891$, $T_{\max} = 0.991$
 7591 measured reflections
 2308 independent reflections
 742 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
 $R_{\text{int}} = 0.066$

Refinement

$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.056$
 $wR(F^2) = 0.184$
 $S = 0.88$
 2308 reflections
 111 parameters
 H-atom parameters constrained
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.12$ e Å⁻³
 $\Delta\rho_{\text{min}} = -0.15$ e Å⁻³

Data collection: SMART (Bruker, 2003); cell refinement: SAINT (Bruker, 2003); data reduction: SAINT; program(s) used to solve structure: SHELXS97 (Sheldrick, 2008); program(s) used to refine structure: SHELXL97 (Sheldrick, 2008); molecular graphics: ORTEPII (Johnson, 1976); software used to prepare material for publication: SHELXL97.

This work was supported by Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia (FCT).

Supplementary data and figures for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SU2109).

References

- Berga, A. W. C. van den & Arean, C. O. (2008). *Chem. Commun.* pp. 668–681.
 Bruker (2003). SMART and SAINT. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
 Bu, X.-H., Chen, W., Lu, S.-L., Zhang, R.-H., Liao, D.-Z., Bu, W.-M., Shionoya, M., Brisse, F. & Ribas, J. (2001). *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **40**, 3201–3203.
 Cozzi, P. G. (2004). *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **33**, 410–421.
 Johnson, C. K. (1976). ORTEPII. Report ORNL-5138. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee, USA.
 Li, Y.-G., Shi, D.-H., Zhu, H. & Ng, S. W. (2007). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **360**, 2881–2889.
 Mohamed, G. G., Omar, M. M. & Hindy, A. M. (2006). *Turk. J. Chem.* **30**, 361–382.
 Renehan, M. F., Schanz, H.-J., McGarridge, E. M., Dalton, C. T., Daly, A. M. & Gilhuany, D. G. (2005). *J. Mol. Catal. A*, **231**, 205–220.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2000). SADABS. University of Göttingen, Germany.
 Sheldrick, G. M. (2008). *Acta Cryst.* **A64**, 112–122.
 Wu, X. & Gorden, A. E. V. (2007). *J. Comb. Chem.* **9**, 601–608.

supplementary materials

Acta Cryst. (2009). E65, o1255 [doi:10.1107/S1600536809016730]

***N,N'*-Bis[(*E*)-(6-methyl-2-pyridyl)methylene]hexane-1,6-diamine**

M. Ramos Silva, J. A. Silva, A. Matos Beja and A. J. F. N. Sobral

Comment

Schiff bases and their complexes (salen ligands) continue to raise interest, even after a hundred years of research, due to their novel structures, their application in reversible binding of oxygen, their catalytic activity in hydrogenation of olefins, intermolecular transfer of amino groups, and their complexing ability towards some toxic metals (Cozzi, 2004; Li *et al.*, 2007; Renehan *et al.*; 2005, Mohamed *et al.*, 2006). Two important examples are, copper(I)-salen complexes investigated as antitumor agents, and ruthenium-salen complexes studied as protein kinase inhibitors by mimicking the structure of organic indolocarbazoles (Wu & Gorden, 2007). Salen complexes have also been used to form metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), which are intensively sought for the storage of hydrogen and carbon dioxide (Berga & Arean, 2008).

The title compound was synthesized to be used as a ligand/spacer in the construction of MOFs. For such purposes long-chain bidentate ligands may be useful to alter the cavity size, as reported by Bu *et al.*, who showed that in some Cu(II) coordination compounds, the cavity size depends on the chain length of bis-sulfinyl ligands used.

The title compound is illustrated in Fig. 1, and the geometrical parameters are available in the archived CIF. It crystallizes with half a molecule in the asymmetric unit. The center of inversion is located at the middle point of the alkyl chain (C10-C10a). The hexane chain adopts an all-*trans* conformation. The mean plane of the pyridylmethylene group makes an angle of 53.78 (19)° with the central chain plane. The short C7–N2 bond length of 1.257 (3) Å, shows the double bond character of this bond.

In the crystal structure the molecules assemble in layers stacked along the *a* axis, as shown in Fig. 2.

Experimental

5.5 mmol of 1,6-diamine was added to 11 mmol of 6-methyl-pyridil-2-aldehyde in toluene (50 ml). The mixture was stirred at 160°C with reflux in a Dean-Stark system until all the water was removed (~2 h). The solution was washed with diluted HCl (30 ml) and NaHCO₃ (15 ml) and dried with NaSO₄ anhydrous (5 g). Solvent was evaporated in a stirring water bath at 40°C under nitrogen. The product was recrystallized from CH₂Cl₂ to give the title compound in 40% yield.

Refinement

The crystals of the title compound diffracted very poorly, displaying broad weak reflections, hence the ratio of observed/unique reflections is only 32%. H-atoms were positioned geometrically [C–H = 0.93 - 0.97 Å] and refined using a riding model [$U_{\text{iso}}(\text{H}) = 1.2$ or $1.5U_{\text{eq}}(\text{parent C-atom})$].

Figures



Fig. 1. ORTEP (Johnson, 1976) plot of the title compound. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.



Fig. 2. Crystal packing of the title compound viewed along the c axis.

N,N'-Bis[(*E*)-(6-methyl-2-pyridyl)methylene]hexane-1,6-diamine

Crystal data

$C_{20}H_{26}N_4$	$F_{000} = 696$
$M_r = 322.45$	$D_x = 1.137 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Orthorhombic, <i>Pbca</i>	Mo $K\alpha$ radiation
Hall symbol: -P 2ac 2ab	$\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ \AA}$
$a = 7.2713 (10) \text{ \AA}$	Cell parameters from 546 reflections
$b = 12.6671 (18) \text{ \AA}$	$\theta = 3.2\text{--}20.3^\circ$
$c = 20.458 (3) \text{ \AA}$	$\mu = 0.07 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
$V = 1884.3 (5) \text{ \AA}^3$	$T = 293 \text{ K}$
$Z = 4$	Prism, yellow
	$0.17 \times 0.12 \times 0.09 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

Bruker APEX CCD area-detector diffractometer	2308 independent reflections
Radiation source: fine-focus sealed tube	742 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$
Monochromator: graphite	$R_{\text{int}} = 0.066$
$T = 293 \text{ K}$	$\theta_{\text{max}} = 28.3^\circ$
φ and ω scans	$\theta_{\text{min}} = 2.0^\circ$
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Sheldrick, 2000)	$h = -9 \rightarrow 8$
$T_{\text{min}} = 0.891$, $T_{\text{max}} = 0.991$	$k = -16 \rightarrow 12$
7591 measured reflections	$l = -22 \rightarrow 25$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2	Secondary atom site location: difference Fourier map
Least-squares matrix: full	Hydrogen site location: inferred from neighbouring sites
$R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.056$	H-atom parameters constrained
$wR(F^2) = 0.184$	$w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0774P)^2]$
$S = 0.88$	where $P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3$
2308 reflections	$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} < 0.001$
	$\Delta\rho_{\text{max}} = 0.12 \text{ e \AA}^{-3}$

111 parameters

$$\Delta\rho_{\min} = -0.14 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$$

Primary atom site location: structure-invariant direct methods

Extinction correction: none

Special details

Geometry. All e.s.d.'s (except the e.s.d. in the dihedral angle between two l.s. planes) are estimated using the full covariance matrix. The cell e.s.d.'s are taken into account individually in the estimation of e.s.d.'s in distances, angles and torsion angles; correlations between e.s.d.'s in cell parameters are only used when they are defined by crystal symmetry. An approximate (isotropic) treatment of cell e.s.d.'s is used for estimating e.s.d.'s involving l.s. planes.

Refinement. Refinement of F^2 against ALL reflections. The weighted R -factor wR and goodness of fit S are based on F^2 , conventional R -factors R are based on F , with F set to zero for negative F^2 . The threshold expression of $F^2 > \sigma(F^2)$ is used only for calculating R -factors(gt) *etc.* and is not relevant to the choice of reflections for refinement. R -factors based on F^2 are statistically about twice as large as those based on F , and R -factors based on ALL data will be even larger.

Fractional atomic coordinates and isotropic or equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

	x	y	z	$U_{\text{iso}}^*/U_{\text{eq}}$
N2	0.1193 (3)	0.29540 (19)	0.10261 (10)	0.0731 (7)
C1	0.0485 (4)	0.4665 (2)	0.14588 (13)	0.0633 (8)
N1	-0.0101 (3)	0.56245 (18)	0.12827 (10)	0.0667 (7)
C5	-0.0300 (4)	0.6357 (2)	0.17502 (13)	0.0683 (8)
C10	0.0506 (4)	0.05151 (18)	-0.00364 (12)	0.0711 (8)
H10A	0.0034	0.0880	-0.0418	0.085*
H10B	0.1796	0.0366	-0.0115	0.085*
C9	0.0355 (4)	0.1241 (2)	0.05473 (12)	0.0719 (8)
H9A	-0.0932	0.1400	0.0624	0.086*
H9B	0.0820	0.0877	0.0930	0.086*
C2	0.0901 (4)	0.4398 (2)	0.20945 (14)	0.0792 (9)
H2	0.1312	0.3724	0.2199	0.095*
C7	0.0688 (4)	0.3890 (2)	0.09307 (13)	0.0674 (8)
H7	0.0430	0.4102	0.0505	0.081*
C8	0.1391 (4)	0.2259 (2)	0.04644 (12)	0.0760 (9)
H8A	0.2684	0.2104	0.0399	0.091*
H8B	0.0945	0.2619	0.0077	0.091*
C6	-0.0943 (5)	0.7425 (2)	0.15397 (13)	0.0892 (10)
H6A	0.0012	0.7933	0.1616	0.134*
H6B	-0.2018	0.7617	0.1785	0.134*
H6C	-0.1238	0.7411	0.1082	0.134*
C4	0.0072 (4)	0.6140 (2)	0.23978 (14)	0.0762 (9)
H4	-0.0095	0.6658	0.2715	0.091*
C3	0.0690 (4)	0.5156 (3)	0.25715 (14)	0.0852 (10)
H3	0.0963	0.5002	0.3005	0.102*

Atomic displacement parameters (\AA^2)

U^{11} U^{22} U^{33} U^{12} U^{13} U^{23}

supplementary materials

N2	0.0935 (18)	0.0568 (16)	0.0689 (14)	-0.0005 (13)	-0.0027 (13)	-0.0059 (12)
C1	0.071 (2)	0.061 (2)	0.0577 (16)	-0.0117 (15)	0.0005 (15)	-0.0023 (14)
N1	0.0852 (17)	0.0543 (15)	0.0605 (13)	-0.0067 (13)	0.0013 (12)	-0.0032 (12)
C5	0.080 (2)	0.064 (2)	0.0614 (17)	-0.0082 (16)	-0.0006 (16)	-0.0020 (15)
C10	0.091 (2)	0.0550 (18)	0.0675 (16)	0.0042 (15)	0.0070 (17)	0.0000 (14)
C9	0.091 (2)	0.0575 (18)	0.0677 (17)	0.0042 (17)	0.0051 (16)	-0.0029 (14)
C2	0.101 (3)	0.067 (2)	0.0701 (19)	-0.0010 (18)	-0.0052 (18)	-0.0026 (17)
C7	0.081 (2)	0.061 (2)	0.0605 (16)	-0.0091 (16)	-0.0001 (15)	-0.0005 (15)
C8	0.097 (2)	0.065 (2)	0.0654 (17)	0.0011 (17)	0.0064 (16)	-0.0070 (15)
C6	0.130 (3)	0.061 (2)	0.0771 (18)	0.0014 (19)	0.000 (2)	-0.0048 (16)
C4	0.095 (2)	0.068 (2)	0.0651 (19)	-0.0068 (19)	-0.0056 (16)	-0.0116 (15)
C3	0.114 (3)	0.081 (2)	0.0602 (17)	-0.004 (2)	-0.0119 (18)	0.0020 (18)

Geometric parameters (\AA , $^\circ$)

N2—C7	1.257 (3)	C9—H9A	0.9700
N2—C8	1.455 (3)	C9—H9B	0.9700
C1—N1	1.338 (3)	C2—C3	1.377 (4)
C1—C2	1.377 (4)	C2—H2	0.9300
C1—C7	1.467 (4)	C7—H7	0.9300
N1—C5	1.340 (3)	C8—H8A	0.9700
C5—C4	1.380 (4)	C8—H8B	0.9700
C5—C6	1.495 (4)	C6—H6A	0.9600
C10—C10 ⁱ	1.506 (5)	C6—H6B	0.9600
C10—C9	1.511 (3)	C6—H6C	0.9600
C10—H10A	0.9700	C4—C3	1.373 (4)
C10—H10B	0.9700	C4—H4	0.9300
C9—C8	1.503 (3)	C3—H3	0.9300
C7—N2—C8	118.5 (2)	C1—C2—H2	120.9
N1—C1—C2	123.2 (3)	N2—C7—C1	123.1 (3)
N1—C1—C7	116.2 (2)	N2—C7—H7	118.5
C2—C1—C7	120.6 (3)	C1—C7—H7	118.5
C1—N1—C5	118.1 (2)	N2—C8—C9	112.4 (2)
N1—C5—C4	121.7 (3)	N2—C8—H8A	109.1
N1—C5—C6	117.1 (2)	C9—C8—H8A	109.1
C4—C5—C6	121.2 (3)	N2—C8—H8B	109.1
C10 ⁱ —C10—C9	114.4 (3)	C9—C8—H8B	109.1
C10 ⁱ —C10—H10A	108.7	H8A—C8—H8B	107.9
C9—C10—H10A	108.7	C5—C6—H6A	109.5
C10 ⁱ —C10—H10B	108.7	C5—C6—H6B	109.5
C9—C10—H10B	108.7	H6A—C6—H6B	109.5
H10A—C10—H10B	107.6	C5—C6—H6C	109.5
C8—C9—C10	113.3 (2)	H6A—C6—H6C	109.5
C8—C9—H9A	108.9	H6B—C6—H6C	109.5
C10—C9—H9A	108.9	C3—C4—C5	119.6 (3)
C8—C9—H9B	108.9	C3—C4—H4	120.2
C10—C9—H9B	108.9	C5—C4—H4	120.2
H9A—C9—H9B	107.7	C4—C3—C2	119.1 (3)

C3—C2—C1	118.3 (3)	C4—C3—H3	120.5
C3—C2—H2	120.9	C2—C3—H3	120.5
C2—C1—N1—C5	-0.4 (4)	N1—C1—C7—N2	-178.7 (3)
C7—C1—N1—C5	-179.8 (2)	C2—C1—C7—N2	1.9 (4)
C1—N1—C5—C4	-0.3 (4)	C7—N2—C8—C9	-127.9 (3)
C1—N1—C5—C6	179.6 (3)	C10—C9—C8—N2	178.8 (2)
C10 ⁱ —C10—C9—C8	179.5 (3)	N1—C5—C4—C3	1.0 (4)
N1—C1—C2—C3	0.4 (5)	C6—C5—C4—C3	-178.9 (3)
C7—C1—C2—C3	179.9 (3)	C5—C4—C3—C2	-0.9 (5)
C8—N2—C7—C1	-178.5 (2)	C1—C2—C3—C4	0.3 (5)

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x, -y, -z$.

Fig. 1




Fig. 2

